## **APR Template – Part C (4)**

ARIZONA State

### Part C State Annual Performance Report (APR) for 2006

#### **Overview of the Annual Performance Report Development:**

The data used for this Indicator is from the Formal Complaint Log.

The actual target data was presented and disseminated, respectively, at a stakeholders' meeting on November 9, 2007 and to the ICC on January 12, 2008

### Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / General Supervision

**Indicator – 11**: Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.

**Measurement:** Percent = [(3.2(a) + 3.2(b))] divided by 3.2 times 100.

FFY	Measurable and Rigorous Target
2006	100%

#### **Actual Target Data for 2006**

100%

Discussion of Improvement Activities Completed <u>and Explanation of Progress or Slippage that occurred for 2006</u>

The State met its target of 100%.

AzEIP received two written requests for Due Process Hearings related to timely services.

- One hearing was fully adjudicated with a written decision within 30 days. The decision included a finding related to timely provision of services.
- The second hearing request was withdrawn by the family as the issue was resolved informally.

# APR Template - Part C (4)

_ARIZONA
State

Revisions, <u>with Justification</u>, to Proposed Targets / Improvement Activities / Timelines / Resources for 2006

N/A

Improvement Activities	Timelines	Status
Continued implementation of the AzEIP Standards of Practice to support understanding of how and when to provide families with their procedural safeguards.	December 2005 with annual trainings and ongoing test options	On-going through AzEIP Policies and Professionalism training, a required content area in the AzEIP Standards of Practice.
Incorporated herein are the improvement activities from Indicator #9 regarding (i) implementation of the NCSEAM family survey to collect data about family rights; (ii) establishment or revision of policies and procedures; (iii) implementation of the monitoring system; and (iv) reviewing and, if needed, revising the polices, procedures, and/or tools of the Continuous Monitoring and Quality		
Improvement System to ensure alignment with new federal and/or State policies and procedures.		